

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,399	02/11/2005	Andreas Krause	TX/4-32608A	6111
1095 NOVARTIS	7590 12/30/200	EXAMINER		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			DUNSTON, JENNIFER ANN	
	I PLAZA 104/3 /ER, NJ 07936-1080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/524,399	KRAUSE ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Jennifer Dunston	1636					
	10/524,399 Examiner	10/524,399 KRAUSE ET AL. Examiner Art Unit				

	ocimilei Bunston	1000					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on			ndonment of this				
application, applicant must timely file one of the following r							
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe							
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	within one of the follow	ving time				
periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext	ension and the corresponding amount	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as							
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than three months after the mailing dat	ie of the final rejection, e	ven if timely filed,				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	ianas with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter							
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi			арроан отгоо а				
AMENDMENTS							
	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	COLLEG				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i L bolowy,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		ducina or simplifyina tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or	or rolling appear by materially rol	adomy or ompmying a	10 100000 101				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324)				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmen	t canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subilililea iii a separate,	amony mod amondmon	it carrocarry are				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [will not be entered, or b) \ will	I be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov			.,				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	**						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.8-11 and 15-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	hafaan aa aa dha data af fira a bi		ha antonia				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and 							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sunicient reasons why the anidav	it of other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing and the state of	Notice of Appeal but prior to the	data of filing a brief w	ill not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,					
11. X The request for reconsideration has bee	n considered but does NOT place	the application in cond	dition for				
allowance because:	·						
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
	/Jennifer Dunston/						
	Examiner						
	Art Unit: 1636						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1raises new issues requiring further consideration. The proposed amendment to remove the phrase "mRNA transcribed therefor or protein encoded thereby" in parts (b) and (c) three grant to the test value raises a new issue under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The claim now requires comprison of test nucleic acid expression levels to baseline nucleic acid or protein expression levels. The proposed amendment to claim 3 raises new issues requiring further consideration. The proposed amendment to claim 3 requires the comparison of nucleic acid expression to indicate increased likelihood of developing CR. The amendment may necessitate a new rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because it is unclear how the protein levels assayed in parts (a) and (b) relate to the comparison of part (c) to determine increased likelihood of developing CR.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to Applicant's arguments directed to the newly amended claims. As discussed above, the amendments have not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are directed to the newly amended claims. As discussed above, the amendments have not been entered. Therefore, the arguments are moot. However, it is noted that the response asserts that the mRNA and protein language has been removed from the pending claims. Although the language has been removed from parts of independent claims 1 and 3, the language persists. In other words, all occurrences of "mRNA transcribed therefrom or protein encoded thereby" have not been removed from the claims. The claims still read on the measurement of expression of protein levels SEQ ID NO: 36. There is no evidence on the record that SEQ ID NO: 36 encodes a protein. Accordingly, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, are maintained for the reasons of frecod!